

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/516,538	12/02/2004	Bernard Teneze	L7307-04148	4464	
24257 7590 01/29/2008 STEVENS DAVIS MILLER & MOSHER, LLP			EXA	EXAMINER	
1615 L STREE		ck, LLr	DOBSON	DOBSON, DANIEL G	
SUITE 850 WASHINGTO	N DC 20036	ō	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	.,, 2 0 20000		2613		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/29/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•			-		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/516,538	TENEZE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_		
	<u> </u>	Daniel G. Dobson	2613			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
VVHIO - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Diperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON 1, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 N	<u>lovember 2007</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims	•				
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 8-12 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·			
-	Claim(s) <u>8-12</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 14 November 2007 is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	I Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been	received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachmer		»□····				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/516,538 Page 2

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,880,467 B1 to Knapp (*Knapp*) and U.S. Patent 4,013,244 to Blom et al. (*Blom.*)

As to **Claim 8**, *Knapp* discloses a method for producing an optical link (Col. 1, II. 36-45) with laser pulses (Col. 3, II. 17-8) between an emitter (Col. 3, II. 36-7) of the pulses and a receiver (Fig. 1, 18, receiver) of the pulses, the optical link being used by a locating device for locating a body (Fig. 1, 10, round) moving at constant speed away from the locating device, the method comprising:

delaying the start of emission of the laser pulses with respect to the departure of the moving body (Col. 3, II. 13-7); and

varying the energy of the successive laser pulses in proportion to the time elapsed since the start of emission of the pulses (Col. 4, II. 39-40.)

Blom discloses that "[t]he power that the transmitter needs to transmit is determined . . . by the square law dependence of irradiance on distance (Col. 1, II. 24-7.)" The square law states that intensity falls off as the square of the distance from the object. Therefore, in the case of an object moving away at a constant velocity, the intensity falls off as the square of time.

Art Unit: 2613

Knapp and Blom are from the same art with respect to optical guidance.

Therefore, they are analogous art.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art to adjust the energy of the laser pulses (*Knapp*) such that the energy increases as a function of the square of time (*Blom.*) The suggestion/motivation would have been to overcome the limited dynamics in the amplifier of the receiver (Col. 1, I. 30.)

As to **Claim 9**, *Knapp* discloses a device for producing an optical link (Col. 1, II. 36-45) with laser pulses (Col. 3, II. 17-8) between an emitter (Col. 3, II. 36-7) of the pulses and a receiver (Fig. 1, 18, receiver) of the pulses, the optical link being used by a locating device for locating a body (Fig. 1, 10, round) moving at constant speed away from the locating device, said device comprising:

a delay section (Col. 3, II. 13-7) that delays the start of emission of the laser pulses with respect to the departure of the moving body; and

an energy control section (Col. 4, II. 39-40.) that varies the energy of the successive pulses in proportion to the time elapsed since the start of emission of the pulses.

Blom discloses that "[t]he power that the transmitter needs to transmit is determined . . . by the square law dependence of irradiance on distance (Col. 1, II. 24-7.)" The square law states that intensity falls off as the square of the distance from the object. Therefore, in the case of an object moving away at a constant velocity, the intensity falls off as the square of time.

Art Unit: 2613

The suggestion/motivation is the same as that used in the rejection for Claim 8.

As to Claim 10, *Knapp* further discloses that the said emitter comprises at least one laser diode (Fig. 4, 82, laser diode; Col. 4, I. 30.)

3. **Claim 11** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,880,467 B1 to Knapp and U.S. Patent 4,013,244 to Blom et al., as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0181055 A1 to Christiansen et al. (*Christiansen*.)

As to Claim 11, Christiansen discloses an optical wireless link (¶ 3) where the transmitting device is a VCSEL (¶48, last 5 lines.)

Christiansen is from the same art with respect to optical links, and therefore is analogous art.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a VCSEL as an emitter (as taught by *Christiansen*) in a system as disclosed by *Knapp*. The suggestion/motivation would have been to use a laser diode that has a narrow emission cone and less dependence on temperature (*Christiansen*, ¶48, last sentence.)

4. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,880,467 B1 to Knapp and U.S. Patent 4,013,244 to Blom et al., as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent 3,371,232 to Hannan et al. (*Hannan*) and U.S. Patent 4,216,520 to Horblin (*Horblin*.)

Application/Control Number: 10/516,538

Art Unit: 2613

As to **Claim 12**, *Hannan* discloses a capacitor (Fig. 1, 23) whose successive discharges supply the emitter (15) so as to produce the successive laser pulses (Col. 2, II. 25-34.)

Horblin discloses that the voltage on a capacitor is directly related to the width of the square wave charging pulse (Col. 5, II. 5-8.)

Hannan is from the same art with respect to operation of a pulsed laser, and is therefore analogous art. Horblin discloses basic properties of charging a capacitor and it therefore analogous art.

The energy contained in a capacitor is given by E=C*V^2. Thus, the energy in a capacitor is related to the square of the width of a charging pulse.
Blom teaches that it is desirable to increase the energy of the laser pulses with the square of time. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art to use linearly increasing charging pulses on a discharge capacitor (Hannan) in a device as disclosed by Knapp and Blom. The suggestion/motivation would have been to use accepted engineering practices to increase the pulse energy of a missile beacon to overcome limitations caused by the irradiance square law.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel G. Dobson whose telephone number is (571) 272-9781. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/516,538

Art Unit: 2613

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Vanderpuye can be reached on (571) 272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/dgd/

KENNETA VANDERPUYE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER